arXiv:1702.07867v1 [math.FA] 25 Feb 2017

TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF STRICT (LF)-SPACES AND STRONG DUALS OF MONTEL STRICT (LF)-SPACES

SAAK GABRIYELYAN

Abstract. Following [2], a Tychonoff space X is Ascoli if every compact subset of $C_k(X)$ is equicontinuous. By the classical Ascoli theorem every k-space is Ascoli. We show that a strict (LF)-space E is Ascoli iff E is a Fréchet space or $E=\varphi$. We prove that the strong dual E'_β of a Montel strict (LF)-space E is an Ascoli space iff one of the following assertions holds: (i) E is a Fréchet–Montel space, so E'_β is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn space, or (ii) $E=\varphi$, so $E'_\beta=\mathbb{R}^\omega$. Consequently, the space $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ of test functions and the space of distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ are not Ascoli that strengthens results of Shirai [20] and Dudley [5], respectively.

1. Introduction.

The class of strict (LF)-spaces was intensively studied in the classic paper of Dieudonné and Schwartz [3]. It turns out that many of strict (LF)-spaces, in particular a lot of linear spaces considered in Schwartz's theory of distributions [18], are not metrizable. Even the simplest $\aleph_0$-dimensional strict (LF)-space φ, the inductive limit of the sequence $\{\mathbb{R}^n\}_{n\in\omega}$, is not metrizable. Nyikos [16] showed that φ is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn space (all relevant definitions are given in the next section). On the other hand, Shirai [20] proved the space $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ of test functions over an open subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$, which is one of the most famous example of strict (LF)-spaces, is not sequential. These results motivate the study of sequential properties of strict (LF)-spaces and more generally of (LM)-spaces. Sequential properties of (LM)-spaces were studied by Dudley in [4]. Webb [22] and Kąkol and Saxon [14] proved the following remarkable result:

Theorem 1.1 ([14, 22])**.** *An (LM)-space E is a k-space if and only if E is sequential if and only if E is metrizable or is a Montel (DF)-space.*

Being motivated by the Ascoli theorem we introduced in [2] a new class of topological spaces, namely, the class of Ascoli spaces. A Tychonoff space X is *Ascoli* if and only if every compact subset of $C_k(X)$ is equicontinuous, where $C_k(X)$ is the space $C(X)$ of all real-valued continuous functions on X endowed with the compact-open topology. By Ascoli's theorem [6], every k-space is an Ascoli space. So we have the following diagram

$$\text{metric} \Longrightarrow \begin{matrix}\text{Fréchet–}\\ \text{Urysohn}\end{matrix} \Longrightarrow \text{sequential} \Longrightarrow k\text{-space} \Longrightarrow \begin{matrix}\text{Ascoli}\\ \text{space}\end{matrix},$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46A13; Secondary 46A11, 22A05.

Key words and phrases. the Ascoli property, strict (LF)-space, Montel space, inductive limit of metrizable groups.

and none of these implications is reversible. The Ascoli property for function spaces and Banach spaces and their closed unit balls with the weak topology has been studied recently in [1, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12]. Taking into account Theorem 1.1 it is natural to consider the following question: Which (LM)-spaces are Ascoli? For strict (LF)-spaces we obtain a complete answer.

Theorem 1.2. *A strict (LF)-space E is Ascoli if and only if E is a Fréchet space or $E = \varphi$.*

In particular, $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is not an Ascoli space that strengthens Shirai's result.

Antedating the Nyikos result, Yoshinaga [23] showed that every strong dual of a Fréchet–Schwartz space is sequential. Webb [22] extended this result to strong duals of Fréchet–Montel spaces (equivalently, to Montel (DF)-spaces, see Theorem 1.1). Let us recall that a locally convex space E is called *semi-Montel* if every bounded subset of E is relatively compact, and E is a *Montel space* if it is a barrelled semi-Montel space. Since every Montel space is reflexive, these results motivate the following problem: Characterize strong duals of Montel spaces which are Ascoli. Note that this problem is quite natural for Montel spaces. Indeed, if E is a Montel space, then: (1) each compact subset of the strong dual space E'_β of E is equicontinuous, and (2) the strong topology $\beta(E', E)$ coincides with the compact-open topology on E' and therefore E'_β is a closed subspace of $C_k(E)$. So the above problem can be reformulated in a more general form as follows: Let E be a locally convex space and τ_k be the compact-open topology on the dual space E'. When the equicontinuity of the compact subsets of the space (E', τ_k) implies the equicontinuity of the compact subsets of its 'functional envelope' $C_k(E)$? Proposition 3.6 below gives a partial answer to this question and plays a crucial role in the proof of our second main result, see Theorem 1.3.

By Theorem 1.1, the strong dual E'_β of an infinite-dimensional Fréchet–Montel space E is sequential, and Webb [22] also has shown that E'_β is not a Fréchet–Urysohn space (below we generalize these results, see Proposition 2.3). However, it seems a little known about topological properties of strong duals of proper Montel strict (LF)-spaces. To the best of our knowledge only one nontrivial result is known: Dudley in [5] has shown that the strong dual $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, the space of distributions, is not sequential. In the next theorem we eliminate this gap and strengthen Dudley's result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let E be a Montel strict (LF)-space. Then the strong dual E'_β of E is an Ascoli space if and only if one of the following assertions holds: (i) E is a Fréchet–Montel space, so E'_β is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn $\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-space, or (ii) $E = \varphi$, so $E'_\beta = \mathbb{R}^\omega$.*

Consequently, the space of distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ is not Ascoli. For another topological properties of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ see [11].

2. Definitions and auxiliary results

All topological spaces in the article are assumed to be Hausdorff. Let us recall some basic definitions. A topological space X is called

- *Fréchet-Urysohn* if for any cluster point $a \in X$ of a subset $A \subseteq X$ there is a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq A$ which converges to a;

- *sequential* if for each non-closed subset $A \subseteq X$ there is a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq A$ converging to some point $a \in \bar{A} \setminus A$;
- a *k-space* if for each non-closed subset $A \subseteq X$ there is a compact subset $K \subseteq X$ such that $A \cap K$ is not closed in K.

Let $\{(X_n, \tau_n)\}_{n\in\omega}$ be a sequence of topological spaces such that $X_n \subseteq X_{n+1}$ and $\tau_{n+1}|_{X_n} = \tau_n$ for all $n \in \omega$. The union $X = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} X_n$ with the *weak topology* τ (i.e., $U \in \tau$ if and only if $U \cap X_n \in \tau_n$ for every $n \in \omega$) is called the *inductive limit* of the sequence $\{(X_n, \tau_n)\}_{n\in\omega}$ and it is denoted by $(X, \tau) = \varinjlim(X_n, \tau_n)$. If X_n is closed in X_{n+1} for every $n \in \omega$, then, clearly, X_n is a closed subspace of X. A topological space X is called a *k_ω-space* (an *$\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-space*) if it is the inductive limit of an increasing sequence $\{C_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ of its (respectively, metrizable) compact subsets. So $\varphi = \varinjlim \mathbb{R}^n$ is an $\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-space. In [21, Lemma 9.3] Steenrod proved the following useful result.

Proposition 2.1. *If K is a compact subset of $(X, \tau) = \varinjlim(X_n, \tau_n)$, then $K \subseteq X_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

In what follows we shall omit τ_n and write simply $X = \varinjlim X_n$.

Proposition 2.2. *If a topological group (G, τ) is an $\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-space, then it is either a locally compact metrizable group or is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn space.*

Proof. It is well-known that any $\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-space is sequential. Assume that G is metrizable. Then G is locally compact by Lemma 4.3 of [17]. If G is not metrizable, then it is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn space by Theorem 2.4 of [19]. □

We denote by A° the polar of a subset A of a locally convex space E. Proposition 2.2 and Alaoglu's theorem and the Banach–Dieudonné theorem imply the following example of k_ω-spaces.

Proposition 2.3. *Let E be a metrizable infinite-dimensional locally convex space. Then (E', τ_k) is a k_ω-space. If in addition E is separable, then (E', τ_k) is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn $\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-space.*

Proof. Let $\{U_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ be a decreasing base of absolutely convex neighborhoods of zero. Then $E' = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} U_n^\circ$, where U_n° is $\sigma(E', E)$-compact by the Alaoglu theorem. Hence U° is τ_k-compact by Proposition 3.9.8 of [13]. By (3) of [15, §21.10], τ_k coincides with the precompact topology τ_{pc} on E'. As every equicontinuous subset of E' is contained in some U_n°, (4) of [15, §21.10] implies that $(E', \tau_k) = \varinjlim U_n^\circ$. Thus (E', τ_k) is a k_ω-space.

If E is separable, then (E', τ_k) admits a weaker metrizable locally convex vector topology. So every τ_k-compact subset of E' is metrizable, and hence (E', τ_k) is an $\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-space. Since E is infinite-dimensional, Proposition 2.2 implies that (E', τ_k) is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn $\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-space. □

An important case of inductive limits of sequences of topological groups is the direct sum a sequence of topological groups endowed with the box topology. Let $\{(G_n, \tau_n)\}_{n\in\omega}$ be a sequence of topological groups and $\mathcal{N}(G_n)$ a basis of open neighborhoods at the identity in G_n, for each $n \in \omega$. The *direct sum* of G_n is denoted by

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\omega} G_n := \left\{ (g_n)_{n\in\omega} \in \prod_{n\in\omega} G_n : \ g_n = e_n \text{ for almost all } n \right\}.$$

For each $n \in \omega$, fix $U_n \in \mathcal{N}(G_n)$ and put

$$\prod_{n\in\omega} U_n := \left\{ (g_n)_{n\in\omega} \in \prod_{n\in\omega} G_n : \ g_n \in U_n \text{ for all } n \in \omega \right\}.$$

Then the sets of the form $\big(\bigoplus_{n\in\omega} G_n\big) \cap \prod_{n\in\omega} U_n$, where $U_n \in \mathcal{N}(G_n)$ for every $n \in \omega$, form a basis of open neighborhoods at the identity of a topological group topology $\mathcal{T}_b$ on $\bigoplus_{n\in\omega} G_n$ that is called the *box topology*. Set $\widetilde{G}_n := \bigoplus_{i\le n} G_i$, $n \in \omega$. Then $\big(\bigoplus_{n\in\omega} G_n, \mathcal{T}_b\big) = \varinjlim \widetilde{G}_n$.

Recall that a locally convex space E is a *strict* (LF)*-space* if E is the inductive limit of a sequence $\{(E_n, \tau_n)\}_{n\in\omega}$ of Fréchet spaces considered as topological spaces, i.e., $\tau_{n+1}|_{E_n} = \tau_n$ holds for all $n \in \omega$, see [15, §19.4]. A strict (LF)-space is *proper* if a sequence $\{(E_n, \tau_n)\}_{n\in\omega}$ can be taken such that $E_n \subsetneq E_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \omega$.

We shall use also the following proposition to show that a space is not Ascoli.

Proposition 2.4 ([12])**.** *Assume X admits a family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \in I\}$ of open subsets of X, a subset $A = \{a_i : i \in I\} \subset X$ and a point $z \in X$ such that*

(i) *$a_i \in U_i$ for every $i \in I$;*
(ii) *$\big|\{i \in I : C \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\}\big| < \infty$ for each compact subset C of X;*
(iii) *z is a cluster point of A.*

Then X is not an Ascoli space.

3. Proofs

The following proposition plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $X = \varinjlim X_n$ be the inductive limit of a sequence $\{X_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ of metrizable groups such that X_n is a closed non-open subgroup of X_{n+1} for every $n \in \omega$. If X is an Ascoli space, then all the X_n are locally compact.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that there is X_i, say X_0, which is not locally compact. For every $i \in \omega$ we denote by ρ_i a left invariant metric on X_i and set

$$B_{n,i} := \{x \in X_i : \rho_i(x, e) < 2^{-n}\}, \quad n \in \omega.$$

Step 1. Consider the open base of neighborhoods $\{B_{n,0}\}_{n\in\omega}$ of the unit e of X_0, so $\overline{B_{n+1,0}} \subseteq B_{n,0}$. Then there is a strictly increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k\in\omega}$ such that $n_{k+1} > n_k + 1$ and for every $k \in \omega$, the set $\overline{B_{n_k,0}} \setminus B_{n_k+1,0}$ is not compact. (Indeed, otherwise, there is n_0 such that $\overline{B_{n,0}} \setminus B_{n+1,0}$ is compact for all $n \ge n_0$. Since $B_{n,0}$ converges to e, we obtain that $\overline{B_{n_0,0}}$ is compact. So X_0 is locally compact, a contradiction.)

Set $P_k := \overline{B_{n_k,0}} \setminus B_{n_k+1,0}$. Then P_k is metrizable and non-compact, and hence P_k is not pseudocompact. By [6, Theorem 3.10.22] there exists a locally finite collection $\{W_{n,k}\}_{n\in\omega}$ of nonempty open subsets of P_k. We may assume in addition that every $W_{n,k} \subseteq \mathrm{Int}(P_k)$. Note that the family

$$\mathcal{W}_m := \{W_{n,i} : n \in \omega, i \le m\}$$

is also locally finite in X_0 for every $m \in \omega$. For every $n, k \in \omega$ pick arbitrarily a point $x_{n,k} \in W_{n,k}$.

Step 2. We claim that for every $k \ge 1$ there are

(a) a one-to-one sequence $\{y_{n,k}\}_{n\in\omega}$ in $X_k \setminus X_{k-1}$ converging to the unit $e \in X$;
(b) for every $n \in \omega$, an open neighborhood $U_{n,k}$ of $a_{n,k} := x_{n,k-1} \cdot y_{n,k}$ in X;

such that

(c) $U_{n,k} \cap X_{k-1} = \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$;

(d) the family

$$\mathcal{V}_k := \{U_{n,i} \cap X_k : \ n \in \omega,\, 1 \le i \le k\}$$

is locally finite in X_k.

Indeed, for every $k \ge 1$, let $\{y_{n,k}\}_{n\in\omega}$ be an arbitrary one-to-one sequence in $X_k \setminus X_{k-1}$ converging to e (such a sequence exists because X_{k-1} is not open in X_k by assumption). For every $k \ge 1$ and each $n \in \omega$ choose an open symmetric neighborhood $V_{n,k}$ of e in X such that

(α) $V_{n,k}^3 \cap X_i \subseteq B_{n,i}$ for every $0 \le i \le n$;

(β) $\big(y_{n,k} \cdot V_{n,k}^3\big) \cap X_{k-1} = \emptyset$ (recall that X_{k-1} is closed in X).

For every $k \ge 1$ and each $n \in \omega$ set

$$a_{n,k} := x_{n,k-1} y_{n,k} \ \text{ and } \ U_{n,k} := a_{n,k} V_{n,k}.$$

Clearly, (a) and (b) hold. Also (c) holds since if $U_{n,k} \cap X_{k-1} \ne \emptyset$ for some $k \ge 1$ and $n \in \omega$, then $x_{n,k-1} y_{n,k} v \in X_{k-1}$ for some $v \in V_{n,k}$. So $y_{n,k} v \in x_{n,k-1}^{-1} X_{k-1} = X_{k-1}$ that contradicts (β).

Let us check (d): $\mathcal{V}_k$ is locally finite in X_k for every $k \ge 1$. Fix $x \in X_k$ and consider the two possible cases.

Case 1. Let $x \in X_k \setminus X_0$. So $\rho_k(x, X_0) > 0$ as X_0 is closed in X_k. For every $1 \le i \le k$, since $y_{n,i} \to e$ in X and $x_{n,i-1} \in X_0$, the condition (α) implies (note that $V_{n,i} \cap X_k \subseteq B_{n,k}$ for every $1 \le i \le k < n$)

$$\lim_n \rho_k\big(U_{n,i} \cap X_k, X_0\big) = \lim_n \rho_k\big(y_{n,i} V_{n,i} \cap X_k, X_0\big) \le \lim_n \rho_k\big(y_{n,i} B_{n,k}, e\big) = 0.$$

Hence there is an open neighborhood U_x of x in X such that $U_x \cap X_k$ intersects only with a finite subfamily of $\mathcal{V}_k$.

Case 2. Let $x \in X_0$. Choose an open symmetric neighborhood U_x of e in X such that $xU_x^3 \cap X_0$ intersects only with a finite subfamily of $\mathcal{W}_k$. We claim that $xU_x \cap X_k$ intersects only a finite subfamily of $\mathcal{V}_k$. Indeed, assuming the converse we can find $1 \le i \le k$ such that $(xU_x \cap X_k) \cap U_{n,i} \ne \emptyset$ for every $n \in I$, where I is an infinite subset of ω. Then for every $n \in I$ there are $u_n \in X_k$, $t_n \in U_x$ and $z_n \in V_{n,i}$ such that

$$u_n = x \cdot t_n = x_{n,i-1} y_{n,i} z_n.$$

Note that $z_n = y_{n,i}^{-1} x_{n,i-1}^{-1} u_n \in V_{n,i} \cap X_k$ belongs to $U_x \cap X_k$ for all sufficiently large $n \in I$ by (α), and also $y_{n,i} \in U_x \cap X_k$ for all sufficiently large $n \in I$ because $y_{n,i} \to e$. So

$$x_{n,i-1} = x \cdot \big(t_n z_n^{-1} y_{n,i}^{-1}\big) \in \big(xU_x^3 \cap X_0\big) \cap W_{n,i-1}$$

for all sufficiently large $n \in I$. But this contradicts the choice of U_x.

Cases 1 and 2 show that $\mathcal{V}_k$ is locally finite in X_k.

Step 3. Let us show that the families

$$A := \{a_{i,k} : i \in \omega, k \ge 1\}, \quad \mathcal{U} := \{U_{i,k} : i \in \omega, k \ge 1\}$$

and $z := e$ satisfy (i)-(iii) of Proposition 2.4. Indeed, (i) is clear. To check (ii) let K be a compact subset of X. By Proposition 2.1, there is $m \in \omega$ such that $K \subseteq X_m$. So (c) implies that if $K \cap U_{n,i} \ne \emptyset$, then $i \le m$, and hence $U_{n,i} \cap X_m \in \mathcal{V}_m$. Since the family $\mathcal{V}_m$ is locally finite in X_m, we obtain that K intersects only a finite subfamily of $\mathcal{U}$ that proves (ii).

To prove (iii) let V be an open neighborhood of e. Take an open neighborhood U of e such that $U^2 \subseteq V$, and choose $k_0 \in \omega$ such that $W_{i,k_0} \subseteq X_0 \cap U$ for every $i \in \omega$. So $x_{i,k_0} \in U$ for every $i \in \omega$. Since $\lim_i y_{i,k_0+1} = e$ we obtain that $a_{i,k_0+1} = x_{i,k_0} y_{i,k_0+1} \in U \cdot U \subseteq V$ for all sufficiently large i. Thus $e \in \overline{A}$ and (iii) holds. Finally, Proposition 2.4 implies that the group X is not Ascoli which is a desired contradiction. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $X = \varinjlim X_n$ be the inductive limit of a sequence $\{X_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ of metrizable groups such that X_n is a closed subgroup of X_{n+1} for every $n \in \omega$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *X is an Ascoli space;*
(ii) *one of the following assertions holds:*
  $(ii)_1$ *there is $m \in \omega$ such that X_n is open in X_{n+1} for every $n \geq m$, so X is metrizable;*
  $(ii)_2$ *all the X_n are locally compact, so X contains an open $\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-subspace and hence X is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn space.*

Proof. (i)⇒(ii) If there is $m \in \omega$ such that X_n is open in X_{n+1} for every $n \geq m$, then X_m is an open subgroup of X. Thus X is metrizable. Assume that for infinitely many $n \in \omega$ the group X_n is not open in X_{n+1}. Without loss of generality we can assume that X_n is not open in X_{n+1} for all $n \in \omega$. Since X is Ascoli, Proposition 3.1 implies that all the X_n are locally compact. Let Y_n be an open σ-compact subgroup of X_n. We can assume that $Y_n \subseteq Y_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \omega$. As all the Y_n are metrizable, the group $Y := \varinjlim Y_n$ is an $\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-space, and hence Y is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn space by Proposition 2.2. Clearly, Y is an open subgroup of X. Thus X is also a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn space.

(ii)⇒(i) follows from the Ascoli theorem [6]. □

Now we prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For every $n \in \omega$ the space E_n is closed in E_{n+1} as a complete subspace of a complete metrizable space. Taking into account that a locally convex space E is locally compact if and only if E is finite dimensional, the assertion follows from Theorem 3.2. □

It is mentioned in [16, Footnote 2] that van Douwen has shown the following: if even one of the factors in the direct sum $X = (\bigoplus_{n\in\omega} X_n, \mathcal{T}_b)$ of a sequence $\{X_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ of metrizable groups with the box topology $\mathcal{T}_b$ is not locally compact and infinitely many of the X_n are not discrete, then X is not sequential. The next corollary generalizes this result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ be a sequence of metrizable groups such that infinitely many of the X_n are not discrete and let $X = (\bigoplus_{n\in\omega} X_n, \mathcal{T}_b)$ be the direct sum endowed with the box topology $\mathcal{T}_b$. Then X is an Ascoli space if and only if all the X_n are locally compact. In this case X has an open subgroup which is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn $\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-space, and hence X is also a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn space.*

Corollary 3.4. *Let $E = \bigoplus_{n\in\omega} E_n$ be the direct locally convex sum of a sequence $\{E_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ of nontrivial metrizable locally convex spaces. Then E is Ascoli if and only if $E = \varphi$.*

Proof. Taking into account that a locally convex space E is locally compact if and only if E is finite dimensional, the assertion follows from Corollary 3.3. □

In particular, the space $\mathbb{R}^\omega \times \varphi$ is not Ascoli, and hence the product of a metrizable space and a sequential space can be not Ascoli.

To prove Theorem 1.3 we need two assertions.

Let E be a locally convex space and E' be its dual. Denote by τ_k and τ_{pc} the compact-open topology and the precompact-open topology on E', respectively.

Lemma 3.5. *Let E be an infinite-dimensional barrelled space and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a locally convex topology on the dual space E' such that $\sigma(E', E) \le \mathcal{T} \le \tau_{pc}$ and the space $(E', \tau_{\mathcal{T}})$ is barrelled. Then for every neighborhood U of zero in E there is an absolutely convex neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of zero in E and a nonzero $\chi \in V^\circ$ such that $\mathbb{R}\chi \cap U^\circ = \{0\}$.*

Proof. By the Alaoglu theorem, the polar U° is $\sigma(E', E)$-compact, and hence U° is a τ_{pc}-compact disc by Proposition 3.9.8 of [13]. Therefore the $\mathcal{T}$-compact set U° is not absorbing (otherwise, U° would be a compact neighborhood of zero in $(E', \mathcal{T})$ by the barrelledness of $(E', \mathcal{T})$, and hence E is finite-dimensional). So there is $\chi \in E'$ such that $\mathbb{R}\chi \cap U^\circ = \{0\}$. It remains to choose a neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of zero such that $\chi \in V^\circ$. □

For a subspace H of a locally convex space E we set $H^\perp := \{\chi \in E' : \chi|_H = 0\}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $E = \varinjlim E_n$ be a strict inductive limit of a sequence $\{(E_n, \tau_n)\}_{n\in\omega}$ of locally convex spaces such that E_n is a closed proper subspace of E_{n+1} for every $n \in \omega$. Assume that E_0 is an infinite-dimensional barrelled metrizable space such that (E_0', τ_k) is also barrelled. Then (E', τ_k) is not an Ascoli space.*

Proof. We shall apply Proposition 2.4. For every $n \in \omega$, we denote by $S_n : E_n \to E$ the canonical embedding and observe that the adjoint map S_n^* is weak* continuous and τ_k-continuous.

Step 1. The basic construction. By Lemma 3.5, choose a decreasing base $\{O_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ of absolutely convex neighborhoods of zero in E_0 such that for every $n \ge 1$ there is a nonzero $\chi_n \in O_n^\circ$ satisfying $\mathbb{R}\chi_n \cap O_{n-1}^\circ = \{0\}$. For every $n, k \ge 1$ choose a $\sigma(E_0', E_0)$-open neighborhood $W_{k,n}$ of zero in E_0' such that

(a) $\left(\frac{1}{k}\chi_n + W_{k,n}\right) \cap O_{n-1}^\circ = \emptyset$.

For every $n \ge 1$, choose $\eta_n, \xi_n \in E'$ such that

(b) $S_0^*(\eta_n) = \chi_n$;

(c) $\xi_n \in E_{n-1}^\perp \setminus E_n^\perp$ (recall that E_n/E_{n-1} is nontrivial and Hausdorff by the assumption of the proposition);

and now choose an absolutely convex $\sigma(E_n', E_n)$-neighborhood $\widetilde{W}_n$ of zero in E_n' such that

(d) $S_n^*(\xi_n) \notin 5\widetilde{W}_n$.

For every $n, k \ge 1$, choose a τ_k-open neighborhood $V_{k,n}$ of zero in E' such that

(e) $S_0^*(V_{k,n}) \subseteq W_{k,n}$,

(f) $S_n^*(V_{k,n}) \subseteq \widetilde{W}_n$,

and set

$$a_{k,n} := \frac{1}{k}\eta_n + k\xi_n \ \text{ and } \ U_{k,n} := a_{k,n} + V_{k,n}.$$

Define

$$A := \{a_{k,n} : k, n \geq 1\}, \quad \mathcal{U} := \{U_{k,n} : k, n \geq 1\} \ \text{ and } \ z := 0.$$

Step 2. We show that $A, \mathcal{U}$ and z satisfy (i)-(iii) of Proposition 2.4. (i) is clear. To prove (ii), let C be a compact subset of (E', τ_k) and set

$$I := \{(k, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : C \cap U_{k,n} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We have to show that I is finite. Since E_0 is barrelled, the $\sigma(E_0', E_0)$-compact subset $S_0^*(C)$ of E_0' is equicontinuous. So there is $m \geq 1$ such that $S_0^*(C) \subseteq O_m^\circ$. By (a)-(c) and (e) we obtain that $n \leq m$ for every $(k, n) \in I$. Now for a fixed n, $1 \leq n \leq m$, take $l \geq 1$ such that

$$S_n^*\left(\frac{1}{k}\eta_n\right) \in \widetilde{W}_n, \quad \forall k \geq l. \tag{3.1}$$

We claim that

$$S_n^*\left(a_{s,n} + y_s\right) - S_n^*\left(a_{t,n} + y_t\right) \notin \widetilde{W}_n$$

for every $t > s \geq l$ and $y_s \in V_{k,n}$ and $y_t \in V_{t,n}$. Indeed, denote by ζ the element in the left side and suppose for a contradiction that $\zeta \in \widetilde{W}_n$. Then (f) and (3.1) imply

$$(t-s)S_n^*(\xi_n) = \zeta - S_n^*\left(\frac{1}{s}\eta_n\right) + S_n^*\left(\frac{1}{t}\eta_n\right) - S_n^*(y_s) + S_n^*(y_t) \in 5\widetilde{W}_n$$

and therefore $S_n^*(\xi_n) \in \frac{5}{t-s}\widetilde{W}_n$ that contradicts (d) (recall that $\widetilde{W}_n$ is absolutely convex). Since the compact set $S_n^*(C)$ cannot contain an infinite uniformly discrete subset, this means that the set $\{k : (k, n) \in I\}$ is finite. Thus I is finite and (ii) holds true.

To check (iii), let $U = K^\circ$ be a basic τ_k-neighborhood of z, where K is a compact subset of E. By Proposition 2.1, there is $n \in \omega$ such that $K \subseteq E_n$ (we identify E_n with its image $S_n(E_n)$ in E). Take $k \geq 1$ sufficiently large such that $\frac{1}{k}\eta_{n+1} \in U$. Then, for every $x \in K$, (c) implies

$$|a_{k,n+1}(x)| = \left|\left(\frac{1}{k}\eta_{n+1} + k\xi_{n+1}\right)(x)\right| = \left|\left(\frac{1}{k}\eta_{n+1}\right)(x)\right| \leq 1.$$

Therefore $a_{k,n+1} \in U$ and hence z belongs to the τ_k-closure of A. Finally, Proposition 2.4 implies that (E', τ_k) is not an Ascoli space. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The 'if' part is trivial. Assume that $E = \varinjlim E_n$ is an infinite-dimensional Montel strict (LF)-space such that the strong dual E_β' of E is an Ascoli space. Note that for every $n \in \omega$ the space E_n is closed in E_{n+1} as a complete subspace of a complete metrizable space, and the strong topology $\beta(E', E)$ coincides with the compact-open topology τ_k on E' as E is Montel.

If E is not proper and $E = E_m$ for some $m \in \omega$, then E is a Fréchet–Montel space. Therefore E_β' is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn $\mathcal{MK}_\omega$-space by Proposition 2.3.

Assume that E is proper. If all E_n are finite-dimensional, then $E = \varphi$. If E_n is infinite-dimensional for some $n \in \omega$, say E_0, then E_0 is a Fréchet–Montel

space. Therefore its strong dual $(E_0', \beta(E_0', E_0))$ is also a Montel space and hence is barrelled. Since $\tau_k = \beta(E_0', E_0)$, the space E'_β is not Ascoli by Proposition 3.6. □

References

1. T. Banakh, Fans and their applications in General Topology, Functional Analysis and Topological Algebra, available in arXiv:1602.04857.
2. T. Banakh, S. Gabriyelyan, On the C_k-stable closure of the class of (separable) metrizable spaces, Monatshefte Math. **180** (2016), 39–64.
3. J. Dieudonné, L. Schwartz, La dualité dans les espaces $(\mathcal{F})$ et $(\mathcal{LF})$, Ann. Inst. Fourier **1** (1949),(1950), 61–101.
4. R. M. Dudley, On sequential convergence, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1964), 483–507; correction, ibid. **148** (1970).
5. R. M. Dudley, Convergens of sequences of distributions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1971), 531–534.
6. R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
7. S. Gabriyelyan, Topological properties of function spaces $C_k(X, 2)$ over zero-dimensional metric spaces X, Topology Appl. **209** (2016), 335–346.
8. S. Gabriyelyan, On the Ascoli property for locally convex spaces, available in arXiv:1611.02994.
9. S. Gabriyelyan, J. Grebík, J. Kąkol, L. Zdomskyy, The Ascoli property for function spaces, Topology Appl. **214** (2016), 35–50.
10. S. Gabriyelyan, J. Grebík, J. Kąkol, L. Zdomskyy, Topological properties of function spaces over ordinals, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matematicas RACSAM, accepted.
11. S. S. Gabriyelyan, J. Kąkol, On topological spaces with certain local countable networks, Topology Appl. **190** (2015), 59–73.
12. S. Gabriyelyan, J. Kąkol, G. Plebanek, The Ascoli property for function spaces and the weak topology of Banach and Fréchet spaces, Studia Math. **233** (2016), 119–139.
13. J. Horváth, *Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions, I.* Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, 1966.
14. J. Kąkol, S. A. Saxon, Montel (DF)-spaces, Sequential (LM)-spaces and the strongest locally convex topology. J. London Math. Soc. **66** (2002), 388–406.
15. G. Köthe, *Topological vector spaces*, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
16. P. J. Nyikos, Metrizability and Fréchet-Urysohn property in topological groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), 793–801.
17. E. T. Ordman, Free products of topological groups which are k_ω-spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **191** (1974), 61–73.
18. L. Schwartz, Théorie des distributions a valeurs vectorielles. I, II, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **7** (1957), 1–142; **8** (1958), 1–210.
19. A. Shibakov, Metrizability of sequential topological groups with point-countable k-networks, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 943–947.
20. T. Shirai, Sur les Topologies des Espaces de L. Schwartz, Proc. Japan Acad. **35** (1959), 31–36.
21. N. E. Steenrod, A convenient category of topological spaces, Michigan Math. J. **14** (1967), 133–152.
22. J. H. Webb, Sequential convergence in locally convex spaces, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **64** (1968), 341–364.
23. K. Yoshinaga, On a locally convex space introduced by J. S. de Silva, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I Math. **21** (1957), 89–98.

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, P.O. 653, Israel

E-mail address: saak@math.bgu.ac.il